UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

* Case No. 11-CV-1242(ARR) DZEVDET LEKIC,

* Brooklyn, New York
* October 26, 2012 Plaintiff,

222 EAST 8TH STREET LLC,

et al.,

Defendants.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARILYN D. GO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

V.

For the Plaintiff: OKECHUKWU VALENTINE NNEBE, ESQ.

> Nnebe & Associates P.C. 255 Livingston Street

3rd floor

Brooklyn, NY 11217

For the Defendant: ANA S. SALPER, ESQ.

> Baker Hostetler LLP 45 Rockafller Plaza New York, NY 10111

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

> Fiore Reporting and Transcription Service, Inc. 4 Research Drive, Suite 402 Shelton, Connecticut 06484 (203)929-9992

```
2
 1
             (Proceedings commenced at 10:32 a.m.)
                  THE COURT: Lekick v. 222 East 8th Street LLC.,
 2
 3
        et. al., docket no. 2011-CV-1242.
                  Will counsel appearing by telephone please state
 4
        their names for the record, for the plaintiff.
 5
                  MR. NNEBE: For the plaintiff, Valentine Nnebe.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: And the defendants?
 8
                  MS. SALPER: Ana Salper of Baker and Hostetler.
 9
                  THE CLERK: Now, as you know Judge Ross requested
        that I just talk about the pre-motion letter of the
10
        defendants.
11
12
                  And I just want to confirm, are we correct with
        respect to the second prong, Mr. Nnebe, that you're not
13
14
        contesting the defendant's second contemplated motion.
15
        mean --
16
                  MR. NNEBE: No, Your Honor. Okay. Sorry, Judge.
                  THE COURT: No, go ahead.
17
18
                  MR. NNEBE: No, like we said in our response to
19
        the pre-motion letter providing that they are not disputing
20
        the fact that my client, the plaintiff, is an employee,
21
        subject to section -- I mean, a New York employee, section
22
        142.3. We're not opposing that motion. That he's entitled
23
        to overtime after 44 hours. We're not opposing that.
24
                  THE COURT: Okay. That he's not --
25
                  MR. NNEBE: (Indiscernible ) the motion.
```

```
3
 1
                  THE COURT: Okay. He's not entitled to overtime
 2
        unless he works more than 44 hours, under the New York Labor
 3
        Law.
                  MR. NNEBE: That's right.
 4
 5
                  THE COURT: Okay.
                  MR. NNEBE: That's correct, Judge.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: Okay. Now on the first argument that
 8
        you intend to raise, Ms. Salper, I mean you raise an
 9
        interesting legal argument, which I guess is not completely
        settled in my view, but you need -- in the first instance,
10
        before you can bring the motion, establish that the
11
12
        plaintiff was paid more than the minimum wage.
                  And that would require a determination of the
13
        number of hours, doesn't it?
14
15
                  MS. SALPER: Yes, it would, Your Honor.
16
                  THE COURT: How could that be undisputed?
                  MS. SALPER: Well, frankly there are various
17
        admissions in plaintiff's deposition that he did only work a
18
19
        40 hour week.
20
                  I mean, he claims in various areas of his sworn
2.1
        testimony that he only worked 8:00 to 5:00. And then he
22
        contradicts himself in other places, but he certainly did
23
        concede that in various areas.
24
                  So frankly, we see that as undisputed. You know,
25
        I know that plaintiff continues to assert in his amended
```

```
1
        complaint that he worked 168 hours a week, but frankly
 2
        that's without -- you know, sort of saying this lightly,
 3
        it's somewhat perjurious because he admitted under oath that
        he didn't work 168 hours a week.
 4
 5
                  Frankly, I don't see it as much of a disputed
        issues as is raised in the amended complaint.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: I have to say Mr. Nnebe, I'm somewhat
 8
        disappointed you're still persistent claiming that the
        plaintiff worked 168 hours a week. We had discussed that
 9
        from the initial conferencing.
10
                  Perhaps I should have made special mention of it
11
12
        in my order granting you leave to amend.
                  But be that as it may, is what Ms. Salper says
13
        about your client's testimony during his deposition,
14
15
        correct?
16
                  Mr. Nnebe? Did we loose him?
                  MS. SALPER: Oh, my goodness. Mr. Nnebe?
17
                  THE COURT: Okay.
18
19
                  MS. SALPER: All right, Judge, hold on one second.
20
        I can try to conference him back in.
21
                  THE COURT: Okay. Good.
22
                  MS. SALPER: All right. Give me one moment.
23
             (Pause.)
24
                  MS. SALPER: Sorry, Judge Go. Hold on one moment.
25
                  THE COURT: Sure.
```

```
5
 1
                  MS. SALPER: Judge, we're having technical
 2
        difficulties here. Mr. Nnebe is out of the country and I'm
 3
        -- so I have this international number and it's not going
 4
        through.
 5
                  THE COURT: Okay. Oh, well let me see. She may
       have just called in and we may be able to conference you
 6
 7
        together.
 8
                 MS. SALPER: Okay.
 9
                  THE COURT: Oh, okay. Oh, here. We'll just
        listen to him on my -- hello, Mr. Nnebe? Hello? Mr. Nnebe?
10
        Oh, I think we lost him again.
11
12
                  MS. SALPER: The only thing I can suggest, Judge
        Go, is I can hang up and call him first and call you again.
13
14
        That seemed to work the first time.
15
                  THE COURT: Okay.
16
                  MS. SALPER: Shall I try that or --
                  THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you? And give him my
17
        -- I guess he called in so if that happens just -- if he
18
19
        gets disconnected, tell him to call in again and we'll
        connect the conference and rather than transferring him to
20
        another line we can conference him in on this line.
21
22
                  MS. SALPER: Okay. Will do. So I will call you
23
       back momentarily.
24
                  THE COURT: Okay. Fine.
25
                  MS. SALPER: Okay.
```

```
6
 1
             (Off the record from 10:39 a.m. to 10:41 a.m.)
 2
                  MS. SALPER: I found Mr. Nnebe again.
 3
                  THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Nnebe? Okay. Good.
 4
                  MR. NNEBE:
                             I'm so sorry.
                  THE COURT: No problem.
 5
                  MR. NNEBE: Our country's telephone is not as good
 6
 7
        as it is back home.
 8
                  THE COURT: Okay.
 9
                  MR. NNEBE: So sorry.
                  THE COURT: That's fine. I am just trying to get
10
        a handle on what the plaintiff said during his deposition
11
12
        and whether or not it can be characterized as being an
        admission that would be sufficient to form the basis for the
13
14
        defendant's motion; to underlie the defendant's motion and
15
        establish that there are no material facts on this issue, on
16
        the number of hours that he worked.
17
                  MR. NNEBE: Okay.
                  MS. SALPER: Right. And I don't know, Mr. Nnebe,
18
19
        if you were on the line when I spoke.
20
                  But I mean, as I mentioned to Judge Go, there were
21
        several instances in plaintiff's deposition where he
22
        admitted that he worked eight hours a day, five days a week.
23
                  You know, I have specific areas where I said, is
24
        that correct? Do you confirm that? And he said yes.
25
                  Now in other areas he did, you know, he did
```

contradict himself. But he certainly has enough admissions in there where, I mean, I can claim with confidence that it's no longer a disputed fact.

And what he certainly didn't admit is that he -- well, what he refuted is that he did not work 168 hours a week, which of course is in the amended complaint still.

MR. NNEBE: No, I think -- Judge, if I can come in a little bit.

What I do know is that the plaintiff admitted that he was scheduled his normal schedule, scheduled hours was five days a week, eight hours a day.

But that he worked more than the hours required of him, that at a minimum -- what he recollect, at a minimum he worked at least 60 hours a week. That was his deposition and we can bring it out.

And he said (indiscernible) he's on 24 hour call, every day, every time. He was given a phone. He never left the premises without the consent of the landlord. So he was (indiscernible) --

MS. SALPER: Your Honor, I'm sorry, but I'm not sure -- I think there's a very serious credibility issue here because in the amended complaint -- in the amended complaint the plaintiffs are still claiming that he worked 168 hours a week. And so now plaintiff's counsel is --

MR. NNEBE: Yes, because he's on call.

Fiore Reporting and Transcription Service, Inc. 203-929-9992

call 24 hours. You don't understand that.

MR. NNEBE: My client says -- my client says he's scheduled to work five days a week. Five eight hours each day. But then he worked every day Monday through Sunday, and in any week he worked at least 60 hours. And he was on

MS. SALPER: Okay. Well, I can send you the --

MS. SALPER: Well, I don't understand why you're claiming on the one hand that he worked 60 hours, on the other hand that he worked 168.

MR. NNEBE: That's because he had been on 24 hours call.

THE COURT: If you want to persist in that argument you're certainly more than welcome to, but I've already given you my views on that argument and I suspect Judge Ross will have the same feeling about that argument, Mr. Nnebe. It's not going to fly, I don't think.

But in any event, I am concerned about the parties slowing everything down and engaging in motion practice when there may be a clear issue of fact here.

MS. SALPER: All right.

MR. NNEBE: No, Judge. Judge, I'm not opposed to the fact that (indiscernible) examination as to how many hours my client worked. And the (indiscernible) whether he's entitled to straight up hours. I don't have a problem with that.

But to go on a motion for summary judgment with that damnation, and it's not an issue, unless I bring it as an issue now, to make it a motion for summary judgment that my client worked only 40 hours, then I can allow that. They can go on with the motion. And we can end it.

MS. SALPER: That's not what we're arguing and that's not what we were requesting in our partial motion for summary judgment, so please don't characterize it that way.

THE COURT: Well, I do think that it's -- if you're not prepared to move for summary judgment in general, then it doesn't make sense for you to be moving for partial summary judgment on this one issue, because a summary judgment motion on the FLSA claim is also dependent on a determination of the hours he worked. So I just don't quite understand your position that it would be useful to be moving for summary judgment at this juncture.

MS. SALPER: Yes. I mean, our strategy behind that, frankly, Judge, though, is nothing more than just trying to limit the claim to, you know, the essence of what the claim is.

And we felt that after the admissions that we got in the deposition this would be a way to narrow the case. I mean, I understand that defendant -- or that plaintiff has now, you know, amended his complaint, he's expanded the time that he wants to -- he wants the Court to consider. He's

also served us with additional discovery.

So frankly, in the interest of judicial expedience, I mean, we're not -- I don't feel that we're delaying it any more than the plaintiff is.

But you know, so be it. I mean, you know, I respect obviously, you know, your -- you know, your take on this, Judge Go, and if you feel that this would be -- this is not the appropriate time to do this, you know, I'm certainly going to accept that.

I mean, I will say sort of on a related note that when we had the status conference before you Judge Go, several months ago, and you know we sort of said that we had prepared our entire litigation strategy based on the sort of the limited time period and now, you know, we have to respond to additional document requests for another year, you know, it's just -- it's putting our client -- we still maintain the position that it's putting our client in somewhat of a position of prejudice, just because we didn't address any of this additional year in our initial discovery. But --

THE COURT: I'm not going to revisit that issue, $\label{eq:main_substitute} \text{Ms. Salper.}$

MS. SALPER: Okay.

MR. NNEBE: You know, Judge, can I come in?

THE COURT: Okay. So --

```
12
 1
                  MR. NNEBE: You know, and I --
 2
                  THE COURT: No. No, no, no, no, you don't
 3
        have to respond.
                  MR. NNEBE: Your motion, your --
 4
                  THE COURT: Mr. --
 5
                  MR. NNEBE: -- the motion was --
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: Mr. Nnebe. Mr. Nnebe.
 8
                  MR. NNEBE: Sorry, Judge.
 9
                  THE COURT: Did you hear what I said?
10
                  MR. NNEBE: Yes, sorry.
                  THE COURT: I'm not going to reconsider that
11
12
        decision and I had set a schedule for discovery, this
        additional discovery to be completed, so let's complete it.
13
14
                  And we'll take this opportunity to discuss what we
15
        need to do after the completion of the additional discovery
16
        that I've permitted. So --
17
                  MR. NNEBE: Okay, Judge. I wasn't going to
        comment on what Ms. Salper said.
18
19
                  THE COURT: No.
20
                  MR. NNEBE: I was only going to comment on the
2.1
        fact that you said that my client admitted in his
22
        deposition. Our letter motion was based on pure law.
23
                  THE COURT: Mr. Nnebe.
24
                  MR. NNEBE: If you have attached -- you know, if
25
        you know, based on his deposition testimony --
```

```
13
 1
                  THE COURT: Mr. Nnebe.
                  MR. NNEBE: -- then I would amend it.
 2
 3
                  THE COURT: Mr. Nnebe.
 4
                  MR. NNEBE: And I would have said, no, it's
 5
        incorrect.
                  THE COURT: Mr. Nnebe.
 6
 7
                  MR. NNEBE: Yes, Judge.
 8
                  THE COURT: Did you hear Ms. Salper say that she's
        willing to respect the Court's opinion and not proceed to
 9
        make a motion on summary judgment at this juncture?
10
                  MR. NNEBE:
                             Okay, Judge.
11
12
                  THE COURT: So I would like discovery to be
        completed. So Ms. Salper has said she is of the view that
13
        it is a hardship but you'll proceed and I just want to make
14
15
        sure you'll complete discovery in a timely fashion.
                  You of course are -- the defendants will have a
16
        right to question the plaintiff on the additional time, and
17
18
        on the additional time periods. And as I've said, the
19
        plaintiff will be responsible for the set-up costs --
20
                  MR. NNEBE: Okay, Judge.
21
                  THE COURT: -- of the deposition. So when will
22
        that all be completed?
23
                  MS. SALPER: Well, I guess I could -- we just
24
        received a third request for a production of documents and
25
        obviously we're, you know, we're going to respond to that
```

```
14
 1
        within -- I believe within a month; within 30 days. And we
 2
        just received it so where are we? We're on October 26th, so
 3
        I'm assuming by the end of November.
 4
                  THE COURT: Okay. Are you seeking to have a
        further deposition of the plaintiff on the new claims?
 5
                  MS. SALPER: I'm going to have to -- and this is,
 6
 7
        did you say that the cost would be borne by the plaintiff's
 8
        counsel?
 9
                  THE COURT: For setting up the deposition.
                  MS. SALPER: Right.
10
                  THE COURT: The questioning will be your time.
11
12
        the transcript will be your time other than the cost of
        arranging for the court reporter. I think there is -- isn't
13
        there a flat fee to do that?
14
15
                  MS. SALPER: It depends on the court reporting
16
        service, but generally -- so it really depends.
17
                  I know we deal with court reporting services where
        they charge by how long, you know, how many hours they're
18
19
        there.
20
                  THE COURT: Do they charge for travel?
21
                  MS. SALPER: Not the folks that we use.
                  THE COURT: Well, look, you'll work it out with
22
23
        Mr. Nnebe. I did say that he was responsible for the -- you
24
        know the set-up costs for an additional deposition.
25
                  And had he not -- had these claims been in the
```

```
16
 1
                  MS. SALPER: Okay. That makes sense.
 2
                  And on the point of discovery, Judge Go and Mr.
 3
       Nnebe, Mr. Nnebe, we requested copies of the deposition
        transcripts of the defendant, about -- I don't know, it's
 4
       been seven, eight months ago.
 5
                  We still have not received copies of the
 6
 7
        transcripts, so we really would like to see those before we,
 8
       you know, before we pursue anything. We never received
        copies from your court reporter.
 9
                  MR. NNEBE: The defendant's deposition transcript?
10
                  MS. SALPER: Yes, both for Larry Bernstein and --
11
12
                  MR. NNEBE: Well, I believe I mailed it to you. I
13
       will send a copy to you again. Not a problem.
14
                  THE COURT: Okay. You'll get that resolved.
15
                  MR. NNEBE: I need a copy (indiscernible). And I
16
       want --
17
                  THE COURT: Well, wait, wait. Excuse me.
                  MR. NNEBE: -- a full copy.
18
19
                  THE COURT: Excuse me. You'll get that resolved
20
       between yourselves, okay?
21
                  MR. NNEBE: Yes.
22
                  THE COURT: And we will have a conference on
23
       December 18th. Is that good for everybody?
24
                  MS. SALPER: Let me just --
25
                  MR. NNEBE: Judge, I'm
```

```
17
 1
                  MS. SALPER: Yes, that's --
 2
                  MR. NNEBE: I'm (indiscernible) again on December
 3
        10 (indiscernible).
                  THE COURT: I'm sorry? I didn't hear you. The
 4
        connection wasn't good. I'm extending discovery to December
 5
        14th and I wanted a conference on the 18th. So you're
 6
 7
        telling me that's not good for you? Mr. Nnebe?
 8
                 MR. NNEBE: No, Judge. I'm going back to
        (indiscernible) for my (indiscernible).
 9
                  THE COURT: When are you going back to Nigeria?
10
                  MR. NNEBE: December 10th, one week.
11
12
                  THE COURT: Oh, I see. Okay, I understand. Could
        you depose the plaintiff the week of December 3rd?
13
14
                  MS. SALPER: Yes, Your Honor.
15
                  THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll have a conference on
16
       December 7th at 9:30.
                 MS. SALPER: Okay. And will it be by phone, Your
17
       Honor.
18
19
                  THE COURT: Is there any possibility of
20
        settlement?
21
                  MS. SALPER: Of settlement? I have not revisited
22
       that with my client lately. I know if the number that
23
       plaintiff's counsel put on the table is still the number,
24
        there will be no possibility of settlement.
25
                  THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll conduct that
```

```
18
 1
        by telephone.
 2
                  MS. SALPER: Okay.
 3
                  THE COURT: But I do hope you will consider what
 4
        I've discussed in the past, Mr. Nnebe. And if you think it
        would be useful --
 5
                  MR. NNEBE: Okay, Judge.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: And, you know, tell me at that time or
 8
        tell me -- you can call chambers if you think it would be
        useful to have a conference with your client and wish to do
 9
        so. But let's get this additional discovery completed.
10
                  So I hope you'll be able to respond to the
11
12
        document request sooner rather than later. It's always a
        good practice, actually, to try to get them out in advance
13
14
        of the 30 days that you have been permitted.
15
                  MS. SALPER: We typically do, Judge Go.
16
                  THE COURT: Okay. So I'm extending discovery to
        December 6th and our conference will be on the 7th at 9:30.
17
18
                  MS. SALPER: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                  THE COURT: Okay.
20
                  MR. NNEBE: Thank you.
21
                  THE COURT: Have a good day.
                  MR. NNEBE: Thank you, Your Honor.
22
23
                  THE COURT: Okay. Good bye.
24
                  MS. SALPER: Thank you.
25
                  MR. NNEBE: Ana, thank you, so much.
```